

ipfraud.info/26OCTOBER2006-AGREEMENT-IS-ASSIGNMENT.pdf

Microsoft Outlook - Memo Style 1 / 1 125%

From: Nicole Edmunds <Nicole.Edmunds@patent.gov.uk>
Sent: 26 October 2006 16:29
To: andrew.hall2@btconnect.com
Cc: DJM@dyoung.co.uk
Subject: Re: GB2267412 et al - s8 & s37 Entitlement Proceedings - NLM Ltd v Conversor Products Ltd.

Dear Mr. Hall,

I refer to our telephone conversation earlier today. I explained to you that I will be attending an internal meeting on Tuesday afternoon 31 October with regard to the case management of these proceedings.

I also confirmed my question posed to David Meldrum of D. Young and Co on 19 October. I explained to you that I contacted David Meldrum on that day and explained that you, Mr Hall, on behalf of Northern Light Music Ltd had alleged that document C4 referred to in the defendant's counterstatement was not an assignment. I asked David Meldrum whether C4 is the assignment document as described in the defendant's counterstatement at paragraph 29 and if the C4 document already filed was not the assignment document that the assignment document should be filed with the office in accordance with rule 112 of the Patent Rules.

As you are aware Mr Meldrum responded as follows:

"In accordance with your request made during our telephone discussion today, I am writing to confirm that the 15 September 2003 sale and purchase agreement is the assignment of GB2267412 to Tonewear Ltd. No postal confirmation of this letter will be sent."

↑

Type here to search

14:14 01/06/2021