---- Original Message -----

From: "Paul Twyman" <Paul.Twyman@ipo.gov.uk>
To: "Andrew Hall" <andrew.hall2@btconnect.com>

Sent: Friday, June 15, 2007 4:46 PM

Subject: Re: attempted amicable settlement between Sense-Sonic Ltd and Oticon in 2004

Mr Hall,

You referred to this letter when we spoke earlier today but can you let me know what, if any, action you would like me to take on this e-mail. If there is any, can you please say who Mr Conn and Mr Lund are.

Paul Twyman

----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Hall [mailto:andrew.hall2@btconnect.com]

Sent: 15 June 2007 17:14

Subject: Re: attempted amicable settlement between Sense-Sonic Ltd and Oticon in 2004

Dear Mr. Twyman,

Mr. Conn is the former administrative receiver of Sense-Sonic Ltd and Mr. Lund is his lawyer at Turner Parkinson.

I have written to them to establish whether they gave Wilson Gunn the authority to act for Sense-Sonic Ltd (as they did, for over a year after the asset sale of 15-09-03) in the matter of an opposition to the European Patents claiming priority from my application GB9027784.9.

I managed to speak at length to Mr. Lund and although he needs to take instruction from Mr. Conn, he is fully aware of the seriousness of the matter, irrespective of whether Mr. Conn gave authority or not. Sense-Sonic Ltd was insolvent to the tune of £4M, so to be masquerading as a defendant, with a liability in respect of costs, is equally serious.

Please file the email. When we see what the DTI has to say, we can establish what should be done.

I expect Mr. Conn to $\underline{\text{deny}}$ having given authority. I am now asking Wilson Gunn and Brassington to explain $\underline{\text{their}}$ conduct.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew Hall